PalArch's Journal of Archaeology of Egypt / Egyptology

A STUDY ON SERVICE QUALITY GAP IN PROFESSIONAL HIGHER EDUCATION

G. Gunaseelan¹, *M. Gurusamy², D.Krishna Kumar³, Chandrika R⁴, Manoj Srivastava⁵, Gaurav Bhattacharya⁶.

^{1,2,3}PG Department of Commerce and Management Studies, Brindavan College, Bangalore,

India.

⁴Post Graduate Department of Management Studies and Research, P.E.S. College of

Engineering, Mandya, Karnataka

^{5,6}School Of Hotel Management, Manipal University, Jaipur India.

E-Mail: ¹gunaseelangurujothi@gmail.com, ²gurusamyphd@gmail.com, ³drdkkpblr@gmail.com,

⁴raghavendra.chandrika@gmail.com, ⁵manoj.srivastava@jaipur.manipal.edu

⁶gaurav.bhattacharya@jaipur.manipal.edu

G. Gunaseelan, *M. Gurusamy, D.Krishna Kumar, Chandrika R, Manoj Srivastava, Gaurav Bhattacharya. A Study On Service Quality Gap In Professional Higher Education-- Palarch's Journal Of Archaeology Of Egypt/Egyptology 17(4), 1978-1992. ISSN 1567-214x

Keywords: Assurance, Empathy, Reliability, Responsiveness, Tangible.

ABSTRACT

The principles of accessibility, quality, and social inclusion have always governed education in India. The plain language means low tuition fees, government accreditation, and caste reservation quotas. With so little higher education in India, the typical student is lost because of the quota system because it is more critical to eliminate qualifications than select them. Industry and services say only a small number of graduates are ready to work, and that the retraining of the young people requires significant spending in acquiring the necessary skills. This study investigates the influence of the institution's locations on the service quality in educational institutions. The study focuses on the quality of service between professional universities. Engineering and Medical Colleges operated by both government and private bodies are categories. The students' evaluations of the quality dimensions of learning, motivation, organization, Group engagement, individual reporting, broadness, and examinations & assignments were carried out in a total of fifty-nine separate variables and reduced to 12 factors derived from the analysis of the previous studies: reliability, responsiveness, assurance, empathy, tangible and student assessment in this research, the scientist agreed that the sampling system should include Engineering and Medical School, Coimbatore district, Tamil Nadu. The researcher has taken 4 Medical colleges and 77 Engineering colleges as the sample unit. There are 784 participants in the overall study. The study involved the estimation and testing of hypothesis inferences on the significance of unknown population parameters.

INTRODUCTION

The principles of accessibility, quality, and social inclusion have always governed education in India. The plain language means low tuition fees, government accreditation, and caste reservation quotas. With so little higher education in India, the typical student is lost because of the quota system because it is more critical to eliminate qualifications than select them. Industry and services say only a small number of graduates are ready to work, and that the retraining of the young people requires significant spending in acquiring the necessary skills. The industry divide must tackle, and reforms established by the knowledge commission must pursue this liberalist. The Indian Medical Council Act of 1956, amended in 1993, established MCI. To provide recognized medical qualifications for universities and medical facilities in India, the Council may prescribe minimum medical education standards. The Council is also responsible for recommending to the Central Government that new medical colleges. New or higher education courses should establish, and admission capacity should increase in all studies or training courses. The AICTE was established in 1987 to ensure proper planning and coordinated development of technical education and standards (including engineering and management training). The NBA (National Board of Accreditation) Council formed to examine, evaluate, and recommend the recognition or deactivation of technological institutes or programs. Besides, AICTE offers grants to development institutes and new projects.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the quality of service in the SERVQUAL model by Monica Met et al. (2018) in Brand Factory. By extracting the anticipation value of the perceptive score, the gap value for each element is calculated. A negative difference indicated that the actual service (the score received) was lower than anticipated (the expectation score). A difference in quality indicates the quality of their delivery and highlights areas that need to be improved. The study concluded that Brand Factory's service quality was satisfactory.

Anisah Herdiyanti et al. (2017) investigated service providers' perspectives on the quality gap of IT services: DPTSI staff and service consumers - readers and students. A gap analysis based on the creations of Parasura man was used. From the perspective of the service provider, a gap between the service quality standards and the delivery of a service (GAP 3) was found, while the gap between expected and perceived service (GAP 5) was analyzed from the point of a vista of

the consumer of service, using SERVQUAL dimensions. From the analysis, we found that due to the relatively advanced technology and unclear flows of service requests, the most significant gap lies in the domain and service hosting services. Internal DPTSI staff agreed that control systems are the most frequent work problem.

M.Sreerama Raju et al. (2017) focused on the literary body and provided an overview of factors that impact the quality of services in the higher learning system. In the country's socio-economic growth, higher education plays a significant role. The government could play a precautionary role in quality in higher education. The government finds the universities in violation but does not comply with the law. While different regulators monitor the academic facilities of higher education institutions to ensure higher calibre.

However, the quality of higher education is short of achieving world excellence Evans Ojiambo Onditi et al. (2017) assessed service quality in the higher education sector. The study reveals the significant influence on student satisfaction of service quality in higher education. Higher educational institutions should establish procedures to collect feedback from students to determine the quality of their students' service dimensions to make necessary improvements to the relevant dimensions of service quality.

Teo Boon Chui et al. (2015) examined the quality of service provided by a private high school in Malaysia to determine the service variables in the education sector. Using gap analysis, it examined whether there are service gaps in the specific attributes of service quality. Five dimensions were identified: tangible, compassionate, assured, reliable, and responsive to the education institution.

The quality of higher education analysis T. D. Juwaheer et al. (2010) focusing on Mauritius University (UoM) could be quantized. The cultivation of quality of service is aimed at the retention and reduction of errors.

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

Higher education plays a vital role in deciding the career path of professionals. Professionals are confused while selecting institutions to pursue higher education about the institution's locality, both urban and rural. Professionals at the time of joining the Higher education institutions might have a perceived expectation of the institutions' service. If the institutions fail to fulfil the professionals' expectations, there is a possibility for a service gap. So, it is essential to study the service quality gap in professional higher education institutions.

OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY

To study the influence of locations of the institution on the service quality in educational institutions.

SCOPE OF THE STUDY

The study focuses on the quality of service between professional universities. Engineering and Medical Colleges operated by both government and private bodies are categories. The students' evaluations of the quality dimensions of learning, motivation, organization, Group engagement, individual reporting, broadness, and examinations & assignments were carried out in a total of fifty-nine separate variables and reduced to 12 factors derived from the analysis of the previous studies: reliability, responsiveness, assurance, empathy, tangible and student assessments.

RESEARCH DESIGN

The plan for a research study is a research design. The study's nature is descriptive. The characteristics of the population or phenomenon being investigated are identified using descriptive research. A trained student did this research to assess the factors affecting the standard of service at educational establishments. In this research, the scientist agreed that the sampling system should include Engineering and Medical School, Coimbatore district, Tamil Nadu. The researcher has taken 4 Medical colleges and 77 Engineering colleges as the sample unit. There are 784 participants in the overall study. The study involved the estimation and testing of hypothesis inferences on the significance of unknown population parameters. The object of interpretation is to draw information from the facts gathered in an empirical analysis.

DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

Percentage analysis – sample profile

The demographic variable such as gender, year of the studying, family income, type of course, parent's education, parent's occupation, Institution place, Institution experience has been analyzed with the help of percentage analysis.

TABLE 1 Percentage Analysis On The Age Of The Respondents

Age	Frequency	Percent
<20 years	529	67.5
20-22 Years	255	32.5
Total	784	100.0

Table 1 shows that among the 784 respondents, 67.5%, i.e.,529 are having age below 20 years, 32.5%, i.e., 255 respondents fall in the age group of 20-22 years.

Type of Course	Frequency	Percent	
Medical	363	46.3	
Engineering	421	53.7	
Total	784	100.0	

TABLE 2 Percentage Analyses On The Type Of Course

Table 2 demonstrates that among the 784 respondents, 46.3%, i.e., 363 are studying in the Medical college, 53.7%, i.e., 421 are studying in the Engineering college.

TABLE 3 Respondents Studying Year

Year	Frequency	Percent
First-year	202	25.8
Second-year	266	33.9
Third-year	207	26.4
Fourth-year	109	13.9
Total	784	100.0

Table 3 depicts that from the total of 784 respondents, 25.8% means 202 of them from first-year students, 33.9%, i.e., 266 of them from second-year students, 26.4% means 207 respondents from third-year students, rest 13.9%, i.e., from fourth-year students.

TABLE 4 Exploratory Factor Analyses For Factors Influencing On ExperienceService Quality Dimensions

Dimensions and Factors	Factor	Percentage Explained	Variance
	Loaungs	Explained	
Factor 1: Assurance		7.328	
1. The Faculties have the required	0.722		
knowledge and qualification			
2. Students are highly qualified to work	0.757		
in any environment			
3. Availability of career service for	0.760		
outgoing students is good			
4. The college campus is safe in all	0.728		
aspects			
5. The Faculty members are highly	0.736		
knowledgeable about clarifying the			
doubts of the students			

6.The campus provides a friendly	0.693	
environment		
Factor 2:Tangibles		7.231
1. The institute is fitted with state-of-the-	0.614	
art facilities that can be used to develop		
students' interest and talent.		
2.Students were given uniform dresses	0.602	
to be professional and neat		
3. The institution has the latest	0.778	
equipment's for the significant learning		
process		
4. The campus has a hygienic	0.715	
environment		
5. Library is equipped with up to date	0.761	
learning source materials		
6. The college Campus cafeteria	0.723	
provides hygienic food at an affordable		
price		
7. The availability of computer and	0.638	
internet access is good		
Factor 3: Reliability		6.833
1.The college Faculty members always	0.634	
give us the right guidance about the		
course		
2. Information available in the Notice	0.799	
board is reliable		
3. The office staffs give us the up to	0.693	
date information about dues		
4. We get bills for fees and other	0.827	
payments		
5. Food which we get from the canteen	0.803	
are valued for money		
6. The institution's website isup to date	0.719	
with correct information.		-
Factor 4: Responsiveness	0.505	6.497
1. The institution takes care of student's	0.787	
feedback	0	
2. The Institution gives a proper answer	0.745	
to queries	0.7.6	
3.1 ne institution informs essentialdates	0.766	
wen in advance 4 When measure the institution	0.704	
4. when necessary, the institution	0.704	
5 The teachers make sure that the	0.602	
J. The teachers make sure that the	0.093	

students understand the subject		
Factor 5: Examinations &		6.111
Assignments		
1. The evaluation method by the faculty	0.682	
members for the examinations and		
assignments is fair and appropriate		
2. The subject and examination are	0.777	
positively related.		
3. The textbook and assignments	0.788	
referred by the faculty members are		
highly helpful froman examination		
point of view.		
4. The homework given by the faculties	0.801	
contributes to appreciation and		
understanding of the subject.		
5. The feedback about the examination	0.769	
are highly valued by the faculty		
members		
Factor 6: Learning		5.877
1. The courses provided to the students	0.825	
are challenging andStimulating.		
2.The students are motivated for	0.866	
innovation		
3. The interest of the students is	0.875	
increasing from the base level to the		
core of the subject		
4.The Students are trained to learn	0.856	
concept and application-oriented		
Factor 7: Empathy		5.756
1. The Faculty members assign the	0.670	
most relevant and appropriate task for		
the students		
2. The availability of a scholarship for	0.613	
students with good achievement	0.602	
3. The course material of the faculty	0.682	
members can be used by the students		
easily	0.550	
4. The Curriculum related activities are	0.572	
made available easily by the		
management	0.640	
5. The Institution gives individual	0.640	
attention to each student	0.602	
o. The institution and students have	0.093	
Energy Communication in all aspects		5 252
ractore:Organization		3.332

1. The explanation by the faculty	0.787	
members are good enough to		
understand concepts		
2. Course materials are well prepared	0.762	
and easy to learn		
3. The course outcomes are well	0.776	
satisfied during the lecture hours		
4. The faculty members are good at	0.697	
lecturing, which helps the students to		
take notes simultaneously		
Factor 9: Individual Rapport		5.111
1. The Faculty members are friendly	0.695	
towards individual students.		
2. The Faculty members involve in	0.755	
effective mentoring.		
3. The Faculties shows genuine interest	0.671	
in individual students' performance and		
problems		
4. Faculties are easily accessible to	0.635	
students during class hours and after		
class hours.		
Factor 10: Breadth		4.395
1. The Faculties can contrast the	0.780	
implications of various theories		
2. The Faculties are highly	0.783	
knowledgeable to present the		
background or origin of ideas/concepts		
is developed.		
3. The Faculties are exploring the point	0.699	
in an appropriate manner		
4. The Faculties discussed the recent	0.586	
advancement in the field		
Factor 11: Group Interaction		4.267
1. Students are invited to participate in	0.673	
the class discussions		
2. Students are invited with ideas and	0.781	
knowledge in a group discussion		
3. Students are extremely encouraged	0.672	
to ask questions and to give meaningful		
answers to the questions.		
4. The students are motivated to	0.629	
express their views in the discussed		
areas.		
Factor12: Enthusiasm		3.787
1. The faculty members are more	0.773	

enthusiastic about teaching courses		
2. The faculty members are dynamic	0.519	
and energetic while conducting class		
3. The faculties make a compelling	0.533	
presentation with a sense of humor		
4. The presentation by the faculties	0.443	
attracts the students to listen for the		
presentation.		
Total Model		68.544

Factor analysis of the 59 items revealed a twelve-factor structure that explained a 68.54 percentage of the total variance. The criteria for holding on to the 12 factors were Eigen values greater than one and the ability to describe and label each factor. Individual items in the attributes of factors influencing service quality dimensions were retained for further analysis if they had factor loadings more than 0.50 and fell into one of the six interpretable factors. None of the items were removed from the attributes of factors on experience service quality dimensions because all the items were loaded onto an easily identifiable factor.

• Factor one was named assurance, and it consists of six items. It describes a 7.328 percentage of the variance in explaining the service quality dimensions.

• Factor two contained seven items and was termed as Tangibles. It explains that 7.231 percentage of the variance in explaining the service quality dimensions

• Factor three consisted of six items and was named reliability. It explains that 6.833 percentage of the variance in explaining the service quality dimensions.

• Factor four contained five items and was termed as responsiveness. It explains that 6.497 percentage of the variance in explaining the service quality dimensions.

• Factor five contained five items and was termed as Examinations & Assignments. It explains that 6.111 percentage of the variance in explaining the service quality dimensions.

• Factor six consisted of four items and was named learning. It explains that 5.877 percentage of the variance in explaining the service quality dimensions.

• Factor seven consisted of six items and was named empathy. It explains that 5.756 percentage of the variance in explaining the service quality dimensions.

• Factor eight contained four items and was termed as an organization. It explains that 5.352 percentage of the variance explaining the service quality dimensions.

• Factor nine consisted of four items and was named Individual Rapport. It explains that 5.111 percentage of the variance explaining the service quality dimensions.

• Factor ten consisted of four items and was named Breadth. It explains that 4.395 percentage of the variance explaining the service quality dimensions.

• Factor eleven consisted of four items and was named Group Interaction. It explains that 4.267 percentage of the variance explaining service quality dimensions.

• Factor twelve consisted of four items and was named Enthusiasm. It explains that 3.787

Percentage of the variance in explaining the service quality dimensions.

Reliability analysis of the instrument

TABLE 5 Reliability Coefficients For The Experience Service Quality Dimensions (α VALUE)

S. No.	Dimensions	Alpha Value
1.	Reliability	0.887
2.	Responsiveness	0.892
3.	Assurance	0.897
4.	Empathy	0.864
5.	Tangibles	0.872
6.	Learning	0.921
7.	Enthusiasm	0.808
8.	Organization	0.851
9.	Group interaction	0.815
10.	Individual rapport	0.816
11	Breadth	0.818
12.	Examinations & assignments	0.865

Overall alpha value (α) =0.953

Experience service quality dimensions by location of the institutions

H₀: There is no significant difference across dimensions of Experience service quality by Location of the Institutions.

H₁: There is a significant difference across dimensions of Experience service quality by Location of the Institutions.

TABLE 6 Analysis Of Significant Differences Across Various Dimensions OfFactors Influencingexperience Service Quality By Location Of The Institutions

SI.	Dimensions	Mean		SD		F	Signific
No							ance
•		Town	Rural	Town	Rural		
1.	Reliability	3.8747	3.8924	0.79868	0.93766	0.082	0.775
2.	Responsive		3.9557	0.86770	0.96115	3.967	0.047
	ness	3.8255					
3.	Assurance	3.6844	3.9118	1.02779	0.88758	10.800	0.001

4.	Empathy	3.8357	4.0282	0.78969	0.71797	12.573	0.000
5.	Tangibles	3.9591	3.9565	0.62132	0.73295	0.003	0.956
6.	Learning	3.8416	3.7715	0.80819	1.05231	1.111	0.292
7.	Enthusiasm	3.9037	3.9861	0.76002	0.77827	2.244	0.135
8.	Organizatio	3.9486	3.9072	0.66153	0.76109	0.590	0.443
	n						
9.	Group	4.0130	4.0360	0.66153	0.76109	0.205	0.651
	interaction						
10.	Individual	3.7713	3.8414	0.75206	0.87159	1.463	0.227
	rapport						
11	Breadth	3.9799	4.0139	0.67707	0.79308	0.418	0.518
12.	Examinatio	3.9390	4.0510	0.69389	0.80640	4.366	0.037
	ns &						
	assignments						

Significance at 0.05 level

In order to find out the presence of significance among the location of the institute and various dimensions of experience service quality, the total mean scores for each dimension of factors influencing service quality were obtained by combining the actual scores obtained for each respondent for each statement in that attribute and averaging it.

• Table 4.12 represents that reliability was found to be 0.082, which reveals that the calculated value is not more than the table value; therefore, H_0 is accepted.

• As revealed in the table, responsiveness was 3.967, which reveals that the calculated value is greater than the table value. Therefore, H_0 is rejected.

• As stated in the table, assurance was 10.800, which reveals that the calculated value is greater than the table value. Therefore, H_0 is rejected.

• As exhibited in the table, empathy was 12.573, which reveals that the calculated value is greater than the table value. Therefore, H_0 is rejected.

• As depicted in the table, Tangibles was found to be 0.003, which reveals that the calculated value is below the table value. Therefore, H_0 is accepted.

• As revealed in the table, learning was found to be 1.111, which reveals that the calculated value is below the table value; therefore, H_0 is accepted.

• As exhibited in the table, Enthusiasm was found to be 2.244, which reveals that the calculated value is not more than the table value; therefore, H_0 is accepted.

• As depicted in the table, the organization was 0.590, which reveals that the calculated value is less than the table value; therefore, H_0 is accepted.

• As disclosed in the table, the Group interaction was 0.205, which reveals that the calculated value is less than the table value; therefore, H_0 is accepted.

• As illustrated in the table, the Individual rapport was 1.463, which reveals that the calculated value is less than the table value; therefore, H_0 is accepted.

• As shown in the table, Breadth was 0.418, which reveals that the calculated value is less than the table value. Therefore, H_0 is accepted.

• As demonstrated in the table, Examinations & assignments were found to be 4.366, which reveal that the calculated value is higher than the table value. Therefore, H_0 is rejected.

This study corroborates that the F Value for analyzing the institute's location against the factors influencing experience service quality dimensions, namely Reliability, Tangibles, Learning, Enthusiasm, Organization, Group interaction, Individual rapport, Breadth, do not have a significant impact at 0.05 levels. However, the F value for analyzing the institute's location against factors affecting experience service quality Responsiveness, Assurance, Empathy, Examinations & assignments show significant effects at 0.05 levels.

Expectation service quality dimensions by location of the institutions

H₀: There is no significant difference across dimensions of Expectation service quality by Location of the Institutions.

H₁: There is a significant difference across dimensions of Expectation service quality by Location of the Institutions.

SI.	Dimensions	Mean		SD		F	Signific
No.		Town	Rural	Town	Rural	Value	ance
1.	Reliability	3.8341	4.0180	0.99101	0.90142	7.285	0.007
2.	Responsive	3.7811	4.0039	1.01291	0.95690	9.914	0.002
3.	Assurance	3.9180	3.9861	0.77368	0.84608	1.384	0.240
4.	Empathy	3.7924	3.8647	0.96709	1.01658	1.040	0.308
5.	Tangibles	3.9284	3.9070	0.80913	0.93369	0.118	0.731
6.	Learning	3.9108	4.0374	0.96573	0.84079	3.769	0.053
7.	Enthusiasm	3.9409	3.9661	0.80839	0.88881	0.172	0.678
8.	Organizatio	4.0089	3.9938	0.69512	0.81870	0.078	0.780
	n						
9.	Group	3.9746	4.0623	0.86659	0.83744	2.059	0.152
	interaction						
10.	Individual rapport	3.6005	3.8518	1.10910	0.86854	12.168	0.001
11	Breadth	3.9125	4.0789	0.87082	0.82053	7.501	0.006

TABLE 7 Analysis Of Significant Differences Across Various Dimensions OfFactors InfluencingFactors Influe

12.	Examinatio	3.9816	4.1136	0.75410	0.82088	5.501	0.019
	ns &						
	assignments						

Significance @ 0.05 levels

In order to find out the presence of significance among the location of the institute and various dimensions of expectation service quality, the total mean scores for each dimension of factors influencing service quality were obtained by combining the actual scores obtained for each respondent for each statement in that attribute and averaging it.

• Table 7 represents that reliability was 7.285, which reveals that the calculated value is greater than the table value; therefore, H_0 is rejected.

• As revealed in the table, responsiveness was 9.914, which reveals that the calculated value is greater than the table value. Therefore, H_0 is rejected.

• As stated in the table, assurance was found to be 1.384, which reveals that the calculated value is not more than the table value; therefore, H_0 is accepted.

• As exhibited in the table, empathy was found to be 1.040, which reveals that the calculated value is below the table value; therefore, H_0 is accepted.

• As depicted in the table, Tangibles was 0.118, which reveals that the calculated value is less than the table value. Therefore, H_0 is accepted.

• As revealed in the table, learning was 3.769, which reveals that the calculated value is less than the table value. Therefore, H_0 is accepted.

• As exhibited in the table, Enthusiasm was 0.172, which reveals that the calculated value is less than the table value; therefore, H_0 is accepted.

• As depicted in the table, the organization was found to be 0.078, which reveals that the calculated value is fewer than the table value; therefore, H_0 is accepted.

• As disclosed in the table, Group interaction was found to be 2.059, which reveals that the calculated value is not more than the table value; therefore, H_0 is accepted.

• As illustrated in the table, Individual rapport was 12.168, which reveals that the calculated value is greater than the table value. Therefore, H_0 is rejected.

• As shown in the table, Breadth was 7.501, which reveals that the calculated value is greater than the table value. Therefore, H_0 is rejected.

• As demonstrated in the table, Examinations & assignments were found to be 5.501, which reveals that the estimated value is greater than the table value. Therefore, H_0 is rejected.

This study corroborates that the F Value for analyzing the institute's location against the factors influencing Expectation service quality dimensions, namely Tangibles, Assurance, Empathy,Learning, Enthusiasm, Organization, Group interaction, does not have a significant impact at 0.05 levels. However, the F value for analyzing the institute's location against factors affecting Expectation

service quality Reliability, Responsiveness, Individual rapport, Breadth, Examinations & assignments show significant effects at 0.05 levels.

FINDINGS

The location of the institution does not influence the reliability factor. Because whether the institution is in rural or town, reliability depends on the practices carried out by the institution transparently without hiding any information from the students. The study reveals that rural institutions are more responsive to students than institutions in the town. Even though they are in the rural area, the management is ready to make any improvements based on the student's feedback. and the institution is also up to date with the resources. The institutions located in the rural area are friendlier to the students than institutions in the town. This institution assures quality education, quality faculty community, and quality placements to the students. A rural institution plays a vital role in producing graduates with values. Individual attention is given more importance in rural institutions. They developed an effective communication system that ensures communicating relevant information to all the stakeholders. Whether the institutions are located in rural or town, an infrastructure facility should meet the government bodies' standard norms. They should have introductory amenities lab and equipment as per the norms. The institutions should follow the standard curriculum developed by the respective universities since it does not influence the learning process. Application of Information, Communication Technology (ICT) in the classroom helps the teaching and learning process enjoyable. Both rural and town institutions quickly adopt these applications. So, location is not affecting the enthusiasm factor.

SUGGESTIONS

• Professional Higher Education cost is on the rising side. However, the quality of education is in the downturn. The quality of education should be improved, and the cost in private institutions to be controlled. Government Engineering College fees are comparatively low; it can be advised to increase the fees. Moreover, the quality of education in government colleges is to be improved.

• Faculty members of the Engineering and Medical colleges' knowledge are periodically evaluated through standard measurements. Furthermore, based on the performance, the remuneration is to be fixed.

• Project and Internship should be assigned and approved based on a realtime basis. The project should be completed within the minimum duration of three months to six months.

CONCLUSION

It is concluded that there is a difference in service quality among rural and urban students. The influence of the educational institution's location plays a predominant role in determining service quality among professional students. So, the students will prefer professional higher educational institutions based on their location to avail the expected service quality.

REFERENCES

- Monica M., Ramanaiah G. (2018). Service Quality Measurement at Brand Factory: An Empirical Study. Management and Labour Studies, 43(1-2), 70-77.
- Anisah Herdiyanti, Aditya Novianda Adityaputri, Hanim Maria Astuti (2017). Understanding the Quality Gap of Information Technology Services from the Perspective of Service Provider And Consumer. ISICO, 124, 601-607.
- M.Sreerama Raju, Dr.N.Udaya Bhaskar (2017). Service quality in higher education: a review and conceptual model". International Journal of Science and Technology and Management, 6(2), 891-901.
- Evans Ojiambo Onditi, Thaddeus Wafula Wechuli (2017). Service Quality and Student Satisfaction in Higher Education Institutions: A Review of Literature. International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications, 7(7), 328-335.
- Teo Boon Chuia, Mohd Shukur bin Ahmad Faezah Binti Ahmad Bassim, Nurnadirah Binti Ahmad Zaimi (2015). Evaluation of Service Quality Of Private Higher Education using Service Improvement Matrix. IRSSM, 6, 132-140.
- T D Juwaheer., &R Nunkoo. (2010). Assessing Employee Satisfaction in Higher Education: the case of Academics of the University of Mauritius. Paper Presented at International Research Symposium in Service Management, 1-30.
