PalArch's Journal of Archaeology of Egypt / Egyptology

The Impact of School Infrastructure on Professional Learning Community and Teaching Practices: A Systematic Literature Review Framework for Maldivian Schools

Aminath Adhala Rasheed¹, Albattat, Ahmad², S.M.Ferdous Azam³

^{1,2,3} Post Graduate Centre, Management and Science University, University Drive, Off Persiaran Olahraga, Section 13, 40100, Selangor, Malaysia

Aminath Adhala Rasheed, Albattat, Ahmad, S.M.Ferdous Azam: The Impact Of School Infrastructure On Professional Learning Community And Teaching Practices: A Systematic Literature Review Framework For Maldivian Schools -- Palarch's Journal Of Archaeology Of Egypt/Egyptology 17(9). ISSN 1567-214x

Keywords: School conditions, School infrastructure, Quality of teaching, Quality of education.

ABSTRACT

Literature shows a clear impact of the schools' physical environment on the professional learning community and teaching practices. As Maldives' quality of education depicts a decline, the paper intends to examine the influence of school infrastructure on the professional learning community (PLC) and teaching practices. A systematic literature review was done on articles between 2018 - 2020. A descriptive analysis and thematic analysis were followed by a framework to comprehend the impact of school infrastructure on PLC and teaching practices. The integrated framework may be used by policymakers and school leaders to create a positive learning environment through a standard infrastructure and improve Maldives' teaching practices. The paper may be examined later to collect empirical evidence to support the study.

1. Introduction

The school's infrastructure is an area where the policymakers, planners, and principals always wrestle to meet the standards to facilitate the educational processes best (Victorian School Building Authority, 2020). The infrastructure or the physical conditions holds all the designs, facilities, and amenities that

create a supportive environment for students' academic journeys in schools (Kamau et al., 2020). The topic has gained constant attention, through extensive literature base, over the decades (Victorian School Building Authority, 2020; Kamau et al., 2020; Akash, 2018; Deppeler and Aikens, 2020; Barrett et al., 2019). However, the influence of infrastructure on professional learning communities is an under explored area (Victorian School Building Authority, 2020; Kamau et al., 2020; Akash, 2018; Deppeler and Aikens, 2020; Barrett et al., 2019). Researches revealed that poor infrastructure significantly affects the learning and development of teachers (Deppeler and Aikens, 2020). Therefore, this has been recognized as a significant area that need further exploration.

The literature also indicated poor school infrastructure in some Asian countries, which requires careful and meaningful upgrading to improve the standard of teaching practices (UNICEF, 2018). Research by the Ministry of Education (2020) in the Maldives summarized that 26% of the schools are disadvantaged in physical facilities where they lack important resource rooms such as libraries, staff rooms, laboratories, and lack of proper hygiene infrastructure and clean drinking water. Ministry of Education (2019), highlighted the disparity in resource allocation between the Maldives' capital city and other islands. Researches also revealed that underprivileged schools showed under rated teaching activities, and poor academic performances (UNICEF, 2018).

The impact of school infrastructure on teaching practices is still an unexplored area in the Maldives with a handful of literature available (Ministry of Education, 2019). The association of school infrastructure, PLC, and teaching practices requires attention globally. Therefore, the study aims to present a theoretical overview, to address the gap and illuminate the impact that school infrastructure may have on PLC and teaching practices in Maldives. It could help policymakers and school leaders understand the impact of school infrastructure on PLC and teaching practices.

2. Method

A Systematic Literature Review (SLR) was carried out to examine the relationship between the factors; school infrastructure, professional learning community, and the teaching practices. According to Mengista et al. (2019), the transparent methodology and integrated literature of SLR makes it a powerful form of research which synthesizes a holistic view of the concept. The information from papers were charted and coded based on descriptive analysis and thematic content analysis. The information was then cross-tabulated under separate categories to explore emergent patterns. An integrated framework was then proposed based on the information gained from the research papers examined.

3. Literature Review

The Systematic Literature Review (SLR) paper identified relevant information about the impact of school infrastructure on PLC and the teaching practices, in the context of all other studies. A framework, as shown below in Table.1, was created to ensure transparency, high-quality process, and minimize bias (Treiblmaier et al., 2020).

Steps	Outcomes	Methods					
Protocol Search	Study Scope	Pre, Primary and Secondary Schools					
	Search Strategy	Searching String included key terms "School Infrastructure and Professional Learning Community" OR "School Infrastructure and Teaching Practices" OR "School Infrastructure, Professional Learning Community and Teaching Practices" OR "Professional Learning Community and Teaching Practices."					
	Searched Studies	Search databases Research Gate, Springer Links, Google Scholars					
Appraisal Synthesis	Selecting Studies	Inclusion and exclusion criteria					
	Quality Assessment	Quality criteria					
	Extract data	An extraction template with the author, published year, research methodology, types of publication, study focused country, contribution to the investigation factors, outcome, identified gaps was prepared.					
	Categorize data	Iterative definition					
	Data Analysis	Descriptive statistics and thematic analysis					
Analysis	Results and Discussion	The trend, gap, and results comparison Integrated Framework					
	Conclusion	The framework derived would assist in answering the research questions on how infrastructure can support PLC and teaching practices in the Maldives					

Table 1: Framework for Systematic Literature Review

Source: Adapted from Kraus et al. (2020) and Mengista et al. (2019)

Protocol Search

Protocol search covered the study scope, search strategy, and searched studies. The research scope was decided based on the PICOC framework, which consisted of population, intervention, comparison, outcome, and context (Mengista et al., 2019). The population included articles based on primary, and secondary school teaching levels. The intervention included consideration of school infrastructure. The comparison group consisted of articles reporting the difference in physical conditions and their impact on PLC and teaching. The study outcomes focused 8 parameters which are listed down in the extraction table 3. Hence, the refined objective for this SLR was to examine the impact of school infrastructure on PLC and teaching practices in the Maldives. A research question was framed to guide the review;

1. How can the school infrastructure impact the professional learning communities and the teaching practices in the Maldives?

The search strategy followed a search string with critical terms related to the concept, as highlighted in table 1, above. The publications were accessed from 6694

three primary online databases; Research Gate, Springer Links, Google Scholars, for articles between 2018 to 2020 and included journals, e-books, conference proceedings, and project reports limited to the English language. Google Scholars was mainly used as an additional basis for cross-checking articles and getting access to full texts of papers and identifying the gray literature (Treiblmaier et al., 2020). The searching for publications was finalized on 15th September 2020.

Appraisal

The literature search resulted in a total of 1500 articles from three central databases. The papers were appraised based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria illustrated below, in table.2.

Table 2:	Inclusion	and Excl	lusion	Criteria
----------	-----------	----------	--------	----------

Criteria	Decision	
The key terms existed in the title, abstract, or in keyword	Included	
sections.		
The paper was published in a peer-reviewed journal	Included	
The article was written in English language	Included	
The report presented evidence on the impact of school	Included	
infrastructure on PLC and teaching quality	Included	
The paper addressed at least one of the three variables	Included	
Was a duplicated paper	Excluded	
The full texts are not accessible	Excluded	
Did not meet the period	Excluded	

Source: Adapted from Kraus et al. (2020) and Mengista et al. (2019)

The title, abstract, and keywords were reviewed for identifying the papers. The screening was done by reading the title and abstract and deciding on the topics' relevance. After the screening, 1300 articles were excluded from the review. In the eligibility phase, the content was analyzed by reading the full texts. The process excluded 190 duplicated papers, those outside the time-span, and those that did not allow access to full text, lacked factors under investigation and lacked enough evidence to show the impact of the variables on each other. Hence, 15 articles deemed essential for the systematic literature review were retained for further processes, as illustrated by the four-phase flow chart in Figure.1.





Source: Adapted from Kraus et al. (2020) and Mengista et al. (2019)

Synthesis

After that, the selected articles were critically analyzed and relevant data were extracted and categorized before including them at synthesis level. Coding criteria were prepared with specific factors; author, published year, research methodology, types of publication, study focused country, contribution to the investigation factors, outcome, identified gaps. An extraction template was created with the eight parameters, and the data from each article was separately filled up, as shown in the table. 3.

Analysis

The useful information was extracted with the help of descriptive statistics, and thematic analysis. The descriptive statistics included analysis of the publication

distribution over the years, publication types, analysis methodology used, and contributing to the factors under investigation **Table 3:** Information Extraction Table

Categor y	Paper 1	Paper 2	Paper 3	Paper 4	Paper 5	Paper 6	Paper 7	Paper 8	Paper 9	Paper 10
Author	Joanne Deppele r & Kathlee n Aikens	Peter Barrett, Alberto Treves, Tigran Shmis, Diego Ambasz , and Maria Ustinov a	Departm ent of Educatio n and Training	Donna Hannaway	Maria D. Avgerinou and Sophia Moros	Tess Martin and Ian Thomso n	Kay Oddone, Hilary Hughes, and Mandy Lupton	Jennifer Feldman	Pamela Wooln er, Ulrike Thoma s, Lucy Tiplad y	Vivi Kurnia Herviani, Yuliyati, Budiyanto
Publishe d Year	2020	2019	2020	2019	2020	2018	2019	2020	2018	2019
Study Focused Country	Australi a	America	Australia	South Africa	America	Australi a	Australia	South Africa	Englan d	Indonesia
Types of Publicati on	Project Report	Book	Book	Journal Article	Journal Article	Journal Article	Journal Article	Journal Article	Journal Article	Conferenc e Paper
Researc h Methodo logy	Systema tic Literatu re Review	Systema tic Literatu re Review	Case Study	Qualitativ e Analysis	Case Study	Case Study	Qualitative	Literature Review	Case Study	Quantitati ve
Contrib ution to variable s under investiga tion	Infrastru cture - Teachin g Practice	Infrastru cture - Teachin g Practice	PLC - Teaching Practice	Infrastruct ure - PLC - Teaching Practice	Infrastruct ure - PLC - Teaching Practice	PLC - Teachin g Practice (infrastr ucture limited)	Infrastructu re - PLC	PLC - Teaching Practice (infrastruc ture limited)	Infrastr ucture - PLC - Teachi ng Practic e	Infrastruct ure - Teaching Practice
Identifie d Gaps	1.How to create innovati ve physical structur e is limited 2. Physical conditio ns related to teacher learning is limited 3. How infrastru cture can promote PLC characte ristics is not highligh	1. Physical conditio ns related to PLC is limited 2. Relation of PLC to teaching practice s limited 3. Only direct relation of infrastru cture with teaching practice s s were discusse d	1. No relation of physical condition s to teacher PLC discussed 2. Physical condition was related to teaching practices and student learning	Technolog y componen t of infrastruct ure was only discussed	Technolog y componen t of infrastruct ure was only discussed	Role of Infrastru cture was limited	Relation of Infrastructu re and professiona 1 learning to teaching practices is not discussed	Relation of Infrastruct ure to profession al learning discussion is limited	Discus sion limited to only few exampl es of physica l conditi ons to PLC and teachin g practic es	Only direct relation of infrastruct ure with teaching practices were discussed

	1	evidenc	1	Interrelati	Profession	PLC -	PLN model	PLC -	PLC -	PLC -
	School	e of	1. Multiple	on	al learning	school-	- social	social	physical	Inclusiv
	building	bolistia	loorning	batwaan	under	based	= social	prostico	cohool	
	building	nonstic	learning	between		Daseu	technologie	practice	school	E Educati
	s need	space &	settings	aal and	Supporting	and	s, learning	haliafa	environin	Educati
		educatio	æ	cai allu	Supportiv	conabor	iesources,	ottitudaa	facilitata	Essilitie
	nore	taabnala	spaces,	pedagogic al content	e Infractruct	loorning	profile	attitudes	acintate	Facilitie
	flavible		fumitum	lin content	minastruct	learning	pionie -	, and	social	s anu
	innovati	gy on	to	knowledg	Digital	, continu	loorning	values	practices	atura
	milovati	loorning	inaluda	financial	Applicatio	continu	individuala	d within	-eg, England	ciure
	ve -	learning	all	manciai	Applicatio	ous			Eligialio	simpiny
	portable	,	all,	resources,	ns -	professi	& groups	social	school -	and
	classioo	mprovi	access to	technolog	Support	diai	WOIK	practice	gardenni	expedite
	ms,	ng	ICI,	у :с	Network	develop	togetner,	s, on-	g	the
	experim	teaching	sports	infrastruct	æ	ment,	context-	going	program	process
	ental	pedagog	neids,	ure, better	Connected	ongoing	based,	collectiv	- deepiy	OI
	spaces,	y with	drinking	access to	ness,	, job-	socially	e	embedde	educatio
	technolo	sare &	water,	devices,	Educator	embedd	constructed	practice,	d change	n / land,
	gy-rich	nealthy	proper	sustainabii	Preparatio	ea,	& self-	snared	into	building
	environ	learning	toilets -	ity -	n, e-	needs-	determined	and	pedagog	s,
	ment -	space,	now it	teacher	Learning,	based	, learning is	collabor	y and	equipme
	can	classroo	promotes	planning	Flexibility	worksho	driven	ative,	culture,	nt,
	empowe	m	numan	æ	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ps, train	autonomou	similar	embedde	school
	r	characte	connecte	preparatio	collaborati	the	siy,	conditio	d in	furnitur
	student	ristics &	dness,	n,	on, Damata	trainer,	diversity &	ns, -	physical	e,
	particip	sizes	participat	sumulate	Learning	gam	interactivit	teachers	space,	teal
	ations,	were	1011,	conaborati	Chartening	knowled	y, equitable	are	continuo	1001,
	greater	discusse	ion and	batrusan	Strategies,	ge and	and	carriers	us troining	space
	commu	u	angagam	stakeholde	v	improve	accessible,	perform	collabora	resource
	nity		engagem	re support	y 01	d	construct	ers of	tive	s, learning
	2		communi	the	Approach	teacher	knowledge	beliefs	practices	material
	physical		ty safety	curriculu	Communit	prepare	and	attitudes	on-going	s -
	conditio		and	m teacher	v of	dness	understandi	and	mutually	accessib
Outcom	ns		security	developm	Practice	projects	ng shared	values	dependen	le for all
e	should		aesthetic	ent	Fostering	portfoli	purposes &	repeated	t	learners
-	allow		ally	learning	Interactio	08	mutual	practice	curriculu	_
	ongoing		pleasing	materials	n Just-in-	mentori	interests	s can	m	indepen
	and		facilities.	school	Time	ng.	update and	become	coherenc	dence.
	authenti			managem	Profession	collabor	refresh	implicit	е -	safety
	с		empower	ent.	al	ative	knowledge.	rules -	extremel	and
	collabor		students,	supervisio	Developm	lesson	advice and	the	v	autono
	ation,		intellectu	n,	ent,	plannin	further	social	successfu	my for
	shared		al	teamwork,	Personaliz	g, co-	support,	setting	1 -	all
	values,		engagem	productio	ed	teaching	offer and	where	change at	
	experien		ent and	n of	Profession	, and	receive	professi	a	
	tial,		self-	knowledg	al	peer	feedback,	onal	structural	
	build		awarenes	е,	Learning,	learning	gained	practice	, cultural	
	trust		s	communic	Communit	, study	greater	takes	and	
	and			ation	y, Home-	groups,	self-	place	individua	
	skills,			between	School	use of	confidence,	are	l level	
	problem			educators	Connectio	ICT	collaborate	schools		
	solving			and	n,		across	 needs 		
	- can			students,	Building		geographic	to be		
	reshape			achieveme	Resilience		and	aligned		
	teaching			nt of	during		temporal			
	practice			results,	pandemic,		boundaries			
	s			growth in	Research-					
				higher	Based					
				order	Design,					
				thinking	Self-Study					
				and	-					
				problem-						
				solving						

Source: Developed from the Literature

4. **Results and Discussions**



Figure 2: The Graph Showing the Number of Articles Accessed Over the Three Years





Figure 3: The Graph Showing the Types of Publications Accessed Source: Developed from the Literature Review

Descriptive Analysis

According to Mahimuang (2018), PLC is a concept that is still evolving with new perspectives and dimensions added to the growing literature. Therefore, the literature search was limited from 2018 to 2020. The graph in the figure.2 below, shows the spread of the publications over the three years. As the trend shows, only two papers were accessed in 2018, whereas four articles were accessed in 2019 and 2020, consecutively. Therefore, the infrastructure's relevance and interest concerning PLC and teaching practices are shown to be inclining slowly and steadily.

The graph in the figure.3 represents the types of publications included in the review. As illustrated by the chart, the association of school infrastructure with PLC and teaching practice seemed to be gaining interest among researchers. The maximum number of publications were journals, and all were peer-reviewed ones. The result implies that the authentic writings that had passed through formal academic processes are also increasing under this domain.

Figure.4 below represents the research methodology used in the papers reviewed. According to the graph, 40% of the publications have adopted a case study method, 30% were systematic literature reviews, 20% used qualitative analysis, and only 10% have used quantitative analysis methods. Systematic literature review, case study method, and qualitative methods are mostly used

to get in-depth knowledge about concepts and topics (Flick, 2018). Based on the results, it can be said that the authors and researchers find the integrated effect of these three factors as a new concept and are still trying to get a deeper understanding of their impact on each other



Figure 4: The Graph Showing the Research Methodology Adopted Source: Developed from the Literature Review



Figure 5: The Graph Showing the Study Focused Area Source: Developed from the Literature Review

According to the figure.5, 40% of the publications were based on Australia, 20% were from America and South Africa, and 10% were from England and Indonesia. In general, it could be said that 90% of the publications included in the review process were from western countries, whereas only 10% was contributed from an Asian country. This result came in consensus with the various researchers who claim that PLC related models and theories are more famous in western countries than the Asian region (Herviani et al., 2019).

A content analysis of the selected papers' contribution to the investigation factors showed that only 3 articles related the three factors; school infrastructure, PLC, and teaching practices. While 3 reports displayed PLC and their influence on teaching practices, another three papers directly linked the school infrastructure to teaching practices. Only one article compared the two variables; school infrastructure and PLC, separately. The result concludes that there is greater scope to examine the role of infrastructure on PLC and teaching practices.



Figure 6: The Graph Showing the Contribution of the Paper to the Factors Under Investigation

Source: Developed from the Literature Review

Thematic Analysis

After thorough content analysis of the ten publications in the review process, four main themes were derived, as illustrated in the chart below;



Figure 7: Chart representing the main themes derived from the content Source: Developed from Literature Review

Just-in-Time Professional Development

The just-in-time PD approach boasted of passing on the right information in the right place at the right time (Ferdig, 2020). The method opens knowledge opportunities to teachers, wherever and whenever they desire (Ferdig, 2020). The world is changing rapidly, and new knowledge is created every day (Woolner et al., 2018). In such an arena, knowledge distribution and acquiring should also be fast, on-going, and authentic (Woolner et al., 2018). Deppeler and Aikens (2020), supported the argument and stated that the physical environment of a school should be an 'educational hub' where knowledge is openly available and easily accessible. For instance, updated and resourceful libraries, fully - equipped computer and science laboratories would help the teachers prepare for the lesson and collect the latest updates regarding the content areas (Barrett, 2017). Availability of responsive technology, such as

intranet and internet facilities, digital tools, electrical outlets in staff rooms, classrooms, and in the school premises, would make the process of learning more comfortable and contended for teachers (Barrett et al., 2019). The design and the layout of the school building, classrooms, corridors, and the school as a whole, should enable teacher collaboration and team learning (Martin and Thomson, 2018). Such facilities keep the teachers connected at all times, and may facilitate co-teaching, discussion, experimenting, and inquiring curriculum content (Ferdig, 2020).

Feldman (2020), attempted to understand the usefulness of professional learning communities through the lens of social practice theory. She argued that beliefs, attitudes, and behavior can be cultivated and modified through social practices where the repeatedly performed behavior and practices gets embedded in the culture and becomes the explicit rules (Feldman, 2020). In this sense, the on-going learning practices may cultivate a culture and reshape the teaching and learning practices (Ferdig, 2020). However, Barrett (2017), argued that the social settings in which the learning or practices occurs are the schools and the physical conditions of schools should be built and designed to stimulate professional learning and professional teaching practices.

Holistic Learning for Students

The physical environment is known as the 'third teacher' in a school, which can either stimulate or hinder the teaching and learning processes (Shmisdiego et al., 2019). Hence, it requires careful planning and execution to build up meaningful structures to support the students' learning from every corner of the schools (Kamau et al., 2020). The dimension, layout, and interior design must promote desirable social interaction, professional communication and motivation among students (Azar et al., 2019). The new-generation students look for open, flexible, and comfortable spaces that promote a continuum of education and recreation (Katsantonis, 2020). The research claimed that the corridors, outdoor learning corners, and the school premises designed to promote informal discussions and educational games, create a broad range of learning experiences, and improve academic performances (Deppeler and Aikens, 2020).

The space and facilities in a school must create environments that ensure equitable use by all students (Deppeler and Aikens, 2020). Literature also believed that physical conditions must cater to students' physical, cognitive, socio-emotional, and sensory abilities (Victorian School Building Authority, 2020). According to the ergonomic principles, prudently designed resources and facilities are believed to exert a powerful influence on the education system (Nalanda International School, 2020). According to Barrett (2018), well-designed classrooms influenced 16% of the learning outcomes of students. Shmisdiego et al. (2019), raised the psychological angle and argued that physical-sensory elements in classrooms, such as light, color, sound, and space, are significant to minimize students' and teachers' stress levels and maximize lessons' effectiveness. Kapur (2019), added to this matter and said that properly ventilated classrooms with a cooling and heating equipment provision are

adequate to keep the students concentrated and intact in the lessons. The research also highlighted special adjustments such as ramps integrated with stairs, adjustable benches, rooms for aides, and flexible spaces are essential features of schools in order to accommodate physically challenged students and those with various abilities (Herviani, 2019). Research by Shmisdiego et al. (2019), identified playgrounds as essential in conducting leisure and recreational activities, sport festivals, competitions, training sessions, or events. These activities, not only, develop students' sports but also stimulate their minds, foster creativity, and create a culture of learning (Kapur, 2019).

Woolner et al. (2020), shared an extremely successful example of a gardening program, from an England school, which showed how holistic learning can occur when teaching practices are deeply embedded into the school's structural and organizational culture. The example explained how the alignment of vision, mission, school activities, the curriculum, training programs, school rules and teacher schedules with the program resulted in meaningful and holistic teaching and learning (Woolner et al., 2020).

Professional Learning Networks (PLN)

The Partnership School Model is a concept under the professional learning network, where groups of teachers work together as a team towards the same goal (Oddone et al., 2019). Different schools adapt to this concept at different levels (Katsantonis, 2020). Some schools prefer teachers teaching similar subjects to form small learning groups or communities where they discuss the queries related to the topics such as curriculum depth and interpreting complex strands (Martin and Thomson, 2018). The activities may be limited to simple knowledge discussions or extend themselves to more complex and meaningful learning (Katsantonis, 2020). The community of inquiry is one similar networking group where a group of teachers delegate subject areas or topics or common subject related concerns among themselves, inquire and research to collect detail information, and share them among the team (Ferdig, 2020; Al Agad, 2018; Al Agad, 2017; Al Agad et al., 2017; Azar and Adnan, 2020; Hashim et al., 2016). Some groups use sharing platforms such as conferences or seminars to come together as a learning team (Katsantonis, 2020; Al Agad, 2017; Al Aqad et al., 2017; Azar and Adnan, 2020; Hashim et al., 2016). The community of practice also forms groups of teachers or practitioners to share their learning experiences or practices through video lessons, demonstrations, learning materials, teaching aids, and or discussion of best practices (Kamau et al., 2020). The professional learning networks can support the teachers and their professional work, despite the geographical boundaries, limitations of space, and time (Katsantonis, 2020).

The learning networks may also connect teachers and parents to discuss and guide each other on improving the teaching and learning processes (Nalanda International School, 2020). This home-school partnership would strengthen the bond between teachers and parents, which is regarded as a positive effect on the students (Kamau et al., 2020). The professional learning networks greatly impact teachers' work by reducing their workload, teacher isolation, and

attrition (Katsantonis, 2020). However, it requires a carefully designed technology-rich infrastructure to connect to the world outside the schools and work effectively (Nalanda International School, 2020).

Remote Teaching and Learning

Technology-based infrastructure or digitalization is a concept that had been advocated by several researchers over the decades (Treiblmaier et al., 2020). However, the current pandemic situation has made the remote teaching and learning a necessity of existing period (Frolova et al., 2019). All the regular teaching and learning activities, such as delivering lessons, doing assessments, clearing doubts and queries, marking attendance, are all carried out via virtual classrooms (Ferdig, 2020; Azar et al., 2019). Besides, essential meetings, functions, and ceremonies are also conducted out virtually (Treiblmaier et al., 2020). Moreover, any teacher training programs such as webinars, social media collaboration, and interaction through educational websites have become everyday practices (Treiblmaier et al., 2020).

However, some schools, especially in developing countries, are still struggling with this form of teaching and learning (Treiblmaier et al., 2020). Amongst the main challenges are the lack of adequate digital equipment and tools, digital applications, internet availability and speed, and user unawareness (Ferdig, 2020). Therefore, Covid-19, though a severe virus, came as an impactful teacher who made the school leaders and educators realize the importance of technological infrastructure and digitalization in teachers' professional learning and the teaching and learning of students (Ferdig, 2020).

The limited amount of publication with the three factors under investigation, school infrastructure, PLC, and teaching practices, implies that this is an area with ample scope to explore further and understand the association of the factors with each other. Hence, the key components in the 10 articles reviewed, were compiled to develop an integrated framework to answers the research question.



o Inclusive Infrastructure for all

Figure 8: The Conceptual Framework Showing the Impact of School Infrastructure on the Quality of Education Source: Developed from the Literature Reviewed

5. Conclusion

The paper constructed a conceptual framework on the impact of schools' infrastructure on the teaching practices, based on the literature. The research on the area depicted disparity and inadequacy in resource allocations in Maldives schools, which lead to inappropriate infrastructure. Therefore, the study explored the current literature and offered a framework to understand the dimensions of infrastructure that influence professional learning communities and enhance teaching practices. The lack of existing theories and models integrating the three areas, school infrastructure, PLC, and teaching practices, could limit the study in getting a comprehensive understanding of the topic. Most researchers focused on specific parts of the framework, and therefore, the components from different researches had to be compiled to get a meaningful framework.

The review paper would yield several theoretical and practical implications. The paper provided vital themes and methods which discussed new ideas and applications from a holistic view, to contribute to the existing literature. Hence, the study could be a path for future studies and research in the field, especially in the Maldivian context where literature is scarce. Besides, the integrated framework enlightened the facilitators and barriers in the association with school infrastructure, PLC, and teaching practices. The enlightenment could improve the existing models and theories in the field. The integrated framework may assist the policymakers and school leaders to make new policies and amend the existing systems. This study would allow the school leaders and educators to adopt this framework and plan the design and layout, and establish the facilities, tools, and equipment to enhance the teaching and learning processes.

References

- Nalanda International School. (2020). The Perfect School Campus: The Importance of Having a Good School Infrastructure. Retrieved from Nalanda International School: https://www.nalandaschool.org/theimportance-of-having-a-good-school-infrastructure
- Mohammed. H. Al Aqad. (2017). Challenges and Suggested Solutions of Teaching Translation at Gaza Strip Universities (Palestine), Arabic Language, Literature & Culture. Vol. 2, No. 2, 2017, pp. 34-39. DOI: 10.11648/j.allc.20170202.11
- Al Aqad, M. H. (2018). Fever of Code-switching and Code-mixing between Arabic and English in School's Classrooms. Translation Journal, 1 - 14.
- Al Aqad, M. H., Sapar, A. A., Hussin, M. B., Mokhtar, R. A., & Mohad, A. H. (2019). The English Translation of Arabic Puns in the Holy Quran. Journal of Intercultural Communication Research, 243–256.
- Barrett, P. (2017, April 25). Designing clever classrooms. Retrieved from Architect Intelligence: https://medium.com/@Architect_intel/designing-clever-classrooms-f6cae1b0bf20

- Azar, A. S., & Adnan, E. J. (2020). The Impact of Effective Educational Leadership on School Students' Performance in Malaysia. Education Quarterly Reviews, 3(2).
- Azar, A. S., & Tanggaraju, D. (2020). Motivation in Second Language Acquisition among Learners in Malaysia. Studies in English Language and Education, 323-333.
- Azar, A. S., Mohamad, N. B., Ramli, R. B., & How, C. S. (2019). The Impact of HOT Skills on Enhancing Professional Communication Strategies among Malaysian TESL Students . Journal of Reviews on Global Economics, 900-915.
- Barrett, P., Treves, A., Shmis, T., & Ambasz, D. (2019). The Impact of School Infrastructure on Learning: A Synthesis of the Evidence . NW Washington: World Bank Publications.
- Victorian School Building Authority. (2020). Building Quality Standards Handbook. East Melbourne: Department of Education and Training.
- Deppeler, J., & Aikens, K. (2020). Responsible Innovation: Designing Schools for Tomorrow's Learners. Monash University. https://cog-live.s3-apsoutheast

2.amazonaws.com/n/1271/2020/Mar/09/ewCXjRsz4Q0sAlmLeiiQ.pdf

- Feldman, J. (2020). The role of professional learning communities to support teacher development: A social practice theory perspective. South African Journal of Education, 1 8.
- Ferdig, R.E., Baumgartner, E., Hartshorne, R., Kaplan-Rakowski, R. & Mouza, C. (Eds). (2020). Teaching, Technology, and Teacher Education During the COVID-19 Pandemic: Stories from the Field. Association for the Advancement of Computing in Education (AACE). Retrieved June 15, 2020 from https://www.learntechlib.org/p/216903/.
- Flick, U. (2018). An Introduction to Qualitative Research. Sage Publication Limited.
- Frolova, E. V., Olga, V. R., Ryabova, T. M., & Zuykina, A. V. (2019). Factors of Conflict in the Educational Environment of the Modern School. European Journal of Contemporary Education, 513-523.
- Hannaway, D. (2019). Mind the gaps: Professional perspectives of technologybased teaching and learning in the Foundation Phase. South African Journal of Childhood Education, 2223 - 7674.
- Hashim, A., Leitner, G., & Al Aqad, M. (2016). Arabic in contact with English in Asia. English Today, 25 32.
- Herviani, V. K., Yuliyati , & Budiyanto. (2019). Evaluation Of Teacher And School Infrastructure Inclusive Education In Bontang. Surabaya: Atlantis Press.
- Kamau, M. S. J., Rambo, C. M., & Mbugua, J. (2020). School Infrastructure Policy Implementation and Performance of School Construction Projects in Post Conflict Environment.
- Kapur, R. (2019). Infrastructure Development in Schools.

- Katsantonis, I. G. (2020). Investigation of the Impact of School Climate and Teachers' Self-Efficacy on Job Satisfaction: A Cross-Cultural Approach. European Journal of Investigation in Health, Psychology and Education, 119–133.
- Kraus, S., Breier, M., & Dasí-Rodríguez, S. (2020). The art of crafting a systematic literature review in entrepreneurship research. International Entrepreneurship and Management Journal, 1023–1042.
- Mahimuang, S. (2018). Professional Learning Community of Teachers: A Hypothesis Model Development. International Academic Research Conference in Vienna, 229 - 235.
- Martin, T., & Thomson, I. (2018). Adaptive capacity in the Pacific region: a study of continuous professional development for in-service teachers in Kiribati. ASIA-PACIFIC JOURNAL OF TEACHER EDUCATION, 6 21.
- Mengist, W., Soromessa, T., & Legese, G. (2019). Method for conducting systematic literature review and meta-analysis for environmental science research. Elsevier, 1-11.
- Ministry of Education. (2020). Maldives Education Response Plan for Covid-19. Male': Ministry of Education.
- Oddone, K., Hughes, H., & Lupton, M. (2019). Teachers as Connected Professionals: A Model to Support Professional Learning Through Personal Learning Networks. International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning, 102 - 120.
- Ministry of Education. (2019). Maldives Education Sector Analysis. Ministry of Education, Malé, Maldives.
- Shmisdiego, T., Ambasz, D., & Ustinova, M. (2019, June 26). Learning environment as third teacher? Evidence on the impact of school infrastructure. Retrieved from Education for Global Development:https://blogs.worldbank.org/education/learningenvironment-third-teacher-evidence-impact-school-infrastructure
- Treiblmaier, H., Mirkovski, K., Lowry, P. B., & Zacharia, Z. G. (2020). The physical internet as a new supply chain paradigm: a systematic literature review and a comprehensive framework. The International Journal of Logistics Management, 239-287.
- UNICEF, T. M. (2018). Education: Because every child deserves to learn. Retrieved from UNICEF, The Maldives: https://www.unicef.org/maldives/what-we-do/education
- Woolner, P., Thomas, U., & Tiplady, L. (2018). Structural change from physical foundations: The role of the environment in enacting school change. J Educ Change, 223 - 242.