

PalArch's Journal of Archaeology of Egypt / Egyptology

2015 GENERAL ELECTIONS IN NIGERIA: THE ROLE OF ABUJA PEACE ACCORD

*Lucky I. Ugbudian¹, Immaculata N. Enwo-Irem², Okonkwo C. Eze³, Bright E. Nwamuo⁴, Jude
U. Eke⁵, Emmanuel C. Alaku⁶, Uchenna S. Ani⁷*

**Lucky I. Ugbudian, Immaculata N. Enwo-Irem, Okonkwo C. Eze, Bright E. Nwamuo,
Jude U. Eke, Emmanuel C. Alaku, Uchenna S. Ani. 2015 General Elections In Nigeria:
The Role Of Abuja Peace Accord-- Palarch's Journal Of Archaeology Of
Egypt/Egyptology 18(8), 2448-2461. ISSN 1567-214x**

Key Words: Nigeria; Africa; UNDP, Peace Accord; Election; Electoral Violence

ABSTRACT

Nigerian political landscape witnessed violence especially the 2011 election where more than 800 lives were lost and instability and insecurity dominated for weeks. Consequently, peace entrepreneurs; locally and internationally promoted violent-free election through the convocation of peace summit in Abuja leading to the political parties and their presidential candidates endorsing policy document for peaceful election in the pre-election cycle of the 2015 general election. Data gathered from primary and secondary sources were analyzed qualitatively using historical approach revealed that the peace accord contributed to the significantly to the peaceful atmosphere that pervaded the elections, the credibility, free and fair nature which culminated in the losers accepting defeat for the first time in the nation's electoral history..

INTRODUCTION

Election is one of the pillars of democratic governance, thus in every democratic society regular election at fixed interval is a common feature. Nigeria returned to the community of democratic states on 29 May 1999 after almost two decades of military rule. The new democratic experiment is termed Fourth Republic, because it is the fourth attempt in entrenching democratic governance in the country. The previous attempts beginning from when the country gained independence in 1960 failed when the military took over in 1966 (Ikime, 1980; Diamond, 1988). The same happened in 1983 when the military terminated the Second Republic, and in the early 1990s following the annulment of the 12 June 1993 presidential election by the General Ibrahim Babangida regime (Osaghae, 2002).

One of the major reasons for the collapse of previous democratic experiments in the country could be traced to the violence that pervaded the electoral cycle.

Electoral violence deals with any random or organised act or threat to intimidate, physically harass, blackmail, or abuse political stakeholders in seeking to determine, delay, or to otherwise influence an electoral process (Jeff 2002). Albert (2012) observed that electoral violence in Nigeria lies in the deeper socio-political and economic structures of the country coupled with poor election management. The combination of the foregoing often makes the political class to rely on and use of any means to emerge victorious in electoral contest.

The Fourth Republic in the country has witnessed electoral violence occasioned by the perceived compromising of the electoral process. The period has seen the use of armed youth employed by the political class to engage in election malpractices that led to loss of lives (Human Rights Watch, 2014). The prevalence of electoral violence in the 2007 election that was described as “do or die” contest by former President Obasanjo worsened in 2011 when the violence that followed the presidential election led to the death of over 800 persons and destruction of properties.

The process through which violence could be transformed is through signing of a peace accord. Peace accords are formal agreements aimed at ending violent conflicts and creating the conditions for durable peace. They include “ceasefire agreements, interim or preliminary agreements, comprehensive and framework agreements, and implementation agreements” (www.gsdrc.org). Peace accords are usually aimed at bringing hostile parties together towards promoting peace. The attempt to avert the recurrence of 2011 electoral violence and the unprecedented threat of violence that heralded the electioneering campaigns of the Nigerian 2015 elections alarmed national and international stakeholders led to the signing of peace accord by the political parties and their respective presidential candidates. The paper therefore examines the role of the Abuja peace accord in the 2015 general election. Following introduction is conceptual analysis; historical context of electoral violence in Nigeria, background to the 2015 general elections and the Abuja Peace Accord; the impact of the Peace Accord on the election; and conclusion as well as suggestions.

Conceptual Analysis

Electoral Violence

Violence according to David (2007) cited in Ugbudian (2011) is the pursuit of incompatible interests and goals by different groups. The process of achieving the incompatible interests among political actors often generates threat of violence or actual violence. In the same vein, political violence is the manifestation of political differences that could end up in threat to peace in the society. Thus, Anifowoshe (1982) conceived electoral violence as the “use of threat or threat of physical act carried out by an individual or individuals within a political system against another individual or individuals, and/or property, with the intent to cause injury or death to persons and/or damage or destruction to property; and whose objective, choice of targets or victims, surrounding circumstances, implementation, and effects have political significance, that is,

tend to modify the behaviour of others in the existing arrangement of power that has some consequences for the political system”.

Balogun (2003) described electoral violence as the employment of force by political parties or their supporters to intimidate opponents and threats to a democratic government, and has often accounted for seizures of power by the use of undemocratic means, force. The author argued that in some cases, violence could be used to intimidate opponents in order to force them not to freely express their freedom of choice of candidates; whilst in others, violence was in reaction to rigging of election. Ladan (2006) described electoral violence as any conduct and act of violence perpetrated in the course of political events covering pre, during and post -election periods, and may include any of the following acts: use of force to disrupt political gatherings or voting at polling stations, thuggery, or the use of dangerous weapons to intimidate voters and other electoral process, or to cause bodily harm or injury to any person connected with electoral processes. Jegede (2002) cited in Ugbugdian (2015) dwelled on the many forms of electoral violence including abduction, arson, murder, illegal and violent seizure and destruction of electoral materials, and assault. The objective of the perpetrators in his view is to determine the outcome of elections or preventing elected public officers from consolidating their positions. Electoral violence is described by Karim (2011) as any behaviour that encompasses the use of physical force to promote the destruction of lives and properties, and maim persons of rival groups in the attempt to advance the electoral interest of their candidates or parties.

In the light of the foregoing, election often brews political instability which is caused by several factors including violent conduct among political groups. The escalation of conflicts among different political groups often takes its roots in perceived manipulation of the electoral process by the rival group(s). In Nigeria, electoral violence has contributed to political instability, since independence whenever there is violence in election cycle; it usually creates instability in the polity. For instance, the Western region electoral crisis culminated in the January 15, 1966 military coup. The Fourth Republic also witnessed one of the worst cases of electoral violence in the country in 2011 which divided the country along ethnic, regional and religious lines.

Historical Context of Electoral Violence in Nigeria

Electoral violence predates the independence of Nigeria but the mode of perpetration was strengthened and entrenched, thereby making every election to be worse than the previous one (Sklar and Whitaker, 1966; Albert, 2012). There is no gain saying that election in the country since 1960 has been confronted with the challenges of violence and several irregularities. The 1959 general election in the country witnessed some degree of violence that created some level of tensions. The country was to experience more complicated violence in 1964-65 following the Western regional and general elections which put the unity of the country under strong questions. The irregularities in the general election of 1964 led to boycott by NCNC largely in the Eastern Region ended in a stalemate which created uncertainty in the country political space. The Western regional election violence was a fall-out of the leadership cries that

engulfed the Action Group (AG), the ruling political party in the region. The crisis led to the fragmentation of the AG and emergence of political party's realignment and alliances. Consequently, the Nigerian National Democratic Party (NNDP) and United Progressive Grand Alliance (UPGA) slugged it out for the control of political power in the region. The election was however marred by poor election management that culminated in deliberate denial of candidates from contesting, rigging, fraud and use of physical force which led to the death of over one thousand persons (Dudley, 1982). The 1965 Western Regional election violence remained the worst political violence in the country. It led to the first military coup d'état in January 1966 and uprooting of democratic structures as well as the 30 month debilitating and destructive civil war (Dudley, 1982; Ochoche 1997; Albert, 2012).

At the same time, the Second Republic between 1979 and 1983 also witnessed intricate electoral violence that made the military to intervene. Although the 1979 general election was competitive and generally low in violence, that of 1983 was characterized by violence especially in the south western states of Ondo and Oyo. The military intervention, a direct result of the electoral violence and maladministration truncated democracy in the country for the second time that was to last for several years. On the eve of the twenty-first century, the country returned to democratic governance after the cries that engulfed the country following the annulment of the 12 June 1993 presidential elections of the short-lived Third Republic.

Democratic governance re-emerged in Nigerian on 29 May 1999 when the military junta of General Abdusalami Abubakar, after a short transition programme, handed over to Chief Olusegun Obasanjo of the Peoples Democratic Party (PDP) who defeated Chief Olu Falae of Alliance for Democracy (AD)/All Peoples Party (APP) in the presidential election. Although there were pockets of violence across the states in the general elections both national and international observers regarded the election as being relatively peaceful. For example, National Democratic Institute for International Affairs and the Carter Centre reported that "the transition from military to civilian rule was generally conducted without violence but observed some electoral irregularities and outright fraud (Onwudiwe and Berwind-Dart, 2010: 3). The 2003 election was to witness violence and malpractice on a higher scale than the 1999 general elections. The 2003 elections according to reports were characterized by "low intensity armed struggle" by thugs hired by the political class especially in the Niger Delta (Human Rights Watch, 2004; Ibeanu, 2007). The issues of intra-party and inter party conflicts also degenerated into violence especially in the ruling PDP in the election cycle.

The violence in the following general election in 2007 was worse than the previous elections in the Fourth Republic. Indeed, it was the election cycle of "do or die" politics declared by the then President, Olusegun Obasanjo. The period also witnessed more daring rigging tactics, ballot snatching, used of security operatives to alter results and intimidation and harassment of opponents as well as Independent National Electoral Commission (INEC) officials. Consequently, the 2007 was declared as a cycle of "civil strife, heightened social and political tension as well as sporadic use of violence, but in which

armed conflict was not formally declared (Olajuyigbe, 2010). The 2007 election because of the violence also led to judicial review of some of the declared results in the elections such as the gubernatorial election results in Edo, Ekiti and Osun States. The 2011 election witnessed the worst violence in the Fourth Republic as over 800 persons were killed in the violence that trailed the presidential election. The violence could be traced to the internal politics of the ruling PDP and the regional, ethnic and religious dimensions of the electioneering cycle. The violence created fears and anxiety and put the unity of the country to question.

Background To the 2015 General Elections and the Abuja Peace Accord

The violence that followed the 2011 presidential election in the country led to the death of over 800 persons and massive loss of property worth billions of naira coupled with the Boko Haram insurgency created tensions and insecurity in the country. The divisive campaigns that preceded the 2011 election into the office of the president further polarized the country along ethnic, religious and regional lines (Albert 2012; Ugudian 2015). The violence indicators that culminated in the 2011 post-election violence also manifested in the run-up to the 2015 general elections and assumed dangerous level following the nomination of presidential candidates by the 14 political parties. President Goodluck Jonathan of PDP and General Muhammadu Buhari were the leading candidates in the election and also heightened violence orchestrated by their supporters using hate messages along religious, regional, cultural, ethnic and personal lines. The hate messages that created fear, tension and insecurity in the political space in the electioneering made peace entrepreneurs such as Professor Bolaji Akinyemi, a former Minister of External Affairs and elder statesman to write an open letter to the leading candidates requesting for a truce and stoppage of the hate campaigns (Alabi, 2015). The request was initially rejected by the presidency. However, on January 14 2015, the Office of the National Security Adviser and the Special Adviser to the president on Inter-Party Affairs through a generous financial grant and support of donor agencies including United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), European Union (EU) and others organized a workshop on the 2015 general elections (Ezea, 2015; Ojo, 2015). The workshop with the theme: “2015 General Elections Sensitization Workshop on Non-Violence” had most of the political parties’ chairmen and presidential candidates invited and in attendance. The workshop was an attempt to deescalate the raging conflict that was looming in the political space through reorientation of the political actors- political parties’ leadership, candidates and other stakeholders. The 14 presidential candidates and their chairmen as well as Chief Emeka Anyaoku signed the below declaration while Mr. Kofi Anna observed the proceedings.

We, the undersigned presidential candidates of the underlisted political parties contesting the general election of 2015, desirous of taking proactive measures to prevent electoral violence before, during and after the elections, anxious about the maintenance of a peaceful environment for the 2015 general election, reaffirming our commitment to the constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria, desirous of promoting the unity and corporate existence of Nigeria as an indivisible entity, determined to avoid any conduct or behaviour that will

endanger the political stability and national security of Nigeria, determined to place national interest above personal and partisan concern, reaffirming our commitment to fully abide by all rules and regulations as laid down in the legal framework for elections in Nigeria hereby submit ourselves and our parties to the following:

To run issue based campaigns at national states and local government levels. In this, we pledge to refrain from campaigns that will involve religious sentiment, ethnic or tribal profiling, both by ourselves and all agents acting in our name.

To refrain from making or causing to make in our names or that of our parties any public statement, pronouncement, declaration or speeches that have the capacity to incite any form of violence before, during and after the elections

To forcefully and publicly speak out against provocative utterances and oppose all act of electoral violence whether perpetuated by our supporters and, or opponents.

To commit ourselves and political parties to the monitoring of the adherence of this accord if necessary, by a national peace committee made up of respected statesmen and women, traditional and religious leaders.

All the institutions of government including INEC and security agencies must act and be seen to act with impartiality (Ezea, 2015; Ojo, 2015).

The foregoing terms of the accord were to guide the actions and deeds of the candidates, political parties and their supporters as well as INEC and securities operatives in the election cycle. The accord placed the burden of preventing violence in the election cycle on the presidential candidates and political parties based on the assumption that they wield huge control over their parties' supporters.

The Accord had provisions for sensitizing the public, and establishment of platform for monitoring and interfacing with the stakeholders to ensure adherence to the terms of the accord. The sensitization provision deals with engaging and educating the stakeholders in ensuring that the 2015 elections were free, fair and credible. This was buttressed by Mr. Ben Obi; the Special Adviser to President Jonathan on Inter party Affairs who opined that the accord comprises public sensitization, peace-building, youth education, and community-wide awareness campaigns involving the political, religious and traditional institutions (Punch 2015; Champion 2015). Thus the process involves seminars, workshops as well as town hall meetings on a regular basis with the aim of inculcating on Nigerians the habit of making and promoting peace especially during election cycle.

In the same vein, the accord provision for the existence of a platform for the implementation, monitoring of the accord and for mediation on issues that may arise among the signatories resulted in the formation of National Peace Committee (NPC). The NPC headed by Nigerian former head of state, General Abusalami Abubakar had other eminent Nigerians including Commodore Ebitu Ukiwe, former Chief of General Staff in the Babangida military junta,

served as Vice Chairman. And other members were Bishop Matthew Kukah, Bishop of Sokoto Catholic Diocese; Alhaji Aliko Dangote; Justice Rose Ukeje rtd; John Cardinal Onayiekan, head of Abuja Diocese; Sultan Abubakar, Sultan of Sokoto; Alhaji Muhammad Musdafa, Lamido Adamawa; Most Rev. Nicholas Okoh, Primate of Anglican Church; and Pastor Ayo Oritsejafor, President, Christian Association of Nigeria. The other members are Dame Priscilla Kuye, former President of Nigerian Bar Association; Prof. Bolaji Akinyemi, a former Minister of Foreign Affairs; Professor Ibrahim Gambari, Nigerian former representative in the UN; Mr. Sam Pemu-Amuka, Publisher of Vanguard Newspapers; Professor Ameze Guobadia; and Professor Zainab Alkali (Aziken, 2015; Champion 2015).

The NPC on 26 March 2015 persuaded the two leading candidates, Muhammadu Buhari of APC and Goodluck Jonathan of PDP to renew the 14 January 2015 accord. The renewed accord pledge says:

You may recall that on 14th January 2015, both of us, along with nine other party leaders signed what has now come to be known as the Abuja Accord. The substance of that Accord was our commitment to free, fair and credible elections in our dear country.

In the Accord, we agreed to, among other things, run an issue-based campaign and pledged that our electoral campaigns will not involve any religious incitement, ethnic or tribal profiling, both by ourselves and all agents acting in our names.

Now that the campaigns have come to an end, we meet today to renew our pledge for peaceful elections. We therefore call on all fellow citizens of our dear country, and our supporters, to refrain from violence or any acts that may in any way jeopardize our collective vision of a free, fair and credible election.

In addition, we call on INEC and all security agencies to ensure strict adherence to their constitutional roles. We also pledge to respect the outcome of free, fair and credible elections.

Today, we again renew our commitment to a united, democratic and prosperous Nigeria. We want all Nigerians to stand together at this critical phase of our nation's history.

Long live the Federal Republic of Nigeria. God bless you all (Alli and Akowe, 2015; Ojo 2015).

The renewing of the peace accord could be seen in the dimensions the electioneering took, where there were series of personal attacks on President Jonathan and General Buhari that portend grave danger and violence if the candidates were not brought to the round table to affirm their belief in the peace accord. This was to a large extent to douse the rising tensions among the parties' supporters and the generality of Nigeria. The dangerous trend of the political tensions was demonstrated in thousands of Nigerians relocating to their state of origin from other parts of the country for safety purposes.

Impact of the Peace Accord in the 2015 General Elections

A significant impact of the peace accord in the 2015 general election was the mediator role of members of the NPC. The members of the NPC acted as a mediator in ensuring that the terms of the accord were strictly observed by the candidates and political parties. The NPC began series of dialogue and interfacing with relevant agencies and stakeholders as well as candidates in the election. In this regard, the NPC met with Professor Attahiru Jega, Chairman of INEC and its officials, head of security agencies, Chief of Defence Staff (CDS), Air Marshal Alex Badeh, and Inspector General of Police (IGP), Suleiman Abba to ascertain their state of preparedness and impressed on them the need for free and fair elections. The NPC was also involved in reassuring the candidates and Nigerians on the conduct of the 2015 elections following the rescheduling of the election to 28 March and 11 April 2015, respectively by INEC, based on security report of the armed forces of the Federal Republic of Nigeria. The NPC informed Nigerians and the political class that despite the postponement of the election, the credibility, freeness and fairness of the conduct of the election will not be compromised thereby helping to douse tension, fear and threat of violence and even actual violence that pervaded the election cycle. It is pertinent to state that the postponement of the elections generated heated arguments between PDP and APC which culminated in protests by the pro and anti-postponement groups backed by the two political parties. While PDP justified the action of INEC on the bases of the armed forces quest to liberate the north eastern part of the country where boko haram insurgency was raging, the APC assumed that the action was influenced by the PDP led government in the attempt to avoid damaging defeat in the election.

At the same time, the NPC engaged in another round of shuttle diplomacy on the eve of voting with President Goodluck Jonathan and General Muhammadu Buhari on the observance of the terms of the peace accord. In this regard, General Abubakar informed the press that “We have come as one to see Mr. President as the Commander-in-Chief of the country and to tell him what we have discussed with all the stakeholders and the role as the Commander-In-Chief has to play and also what we expect him as a contestant in this race”. The NPC further informed that the president gave his on conducting credible election thus General Abubakar said “We have briefed him and he has given us his assurance of conducting free, fair and violence-free elections. And this is what this committee is all about.” At the same time and following the conclusion of voting the NPC also met both leading candidates separately on the need to abide by the terms of the accord. The NPC commended the candidates, Nigerians, security agencies and international community for their roles in the peaceful nature of the elections “We thank Nigerians and all international community who came to support us during the elections.... The elections have been very peaceful despite the hitches here and there. At the end of the elections, at the counting, there are a lot of upheavals that have happened but thankfully they have been contained (Punch.2015)

This shuttle diplomacy eventually paid off when the candidate of the PDP and president of the country, Goodluck Jonathan eventually conceded defeat even when the results of Borno state were yet to be formally declared. This by any

standard can be described as the demonstration of statesmanship and democratic credentials the president which is rare on the African continent. General Abubakar described President Jonathan conceding of defeat thus:

We were at the middle of a meeting with the international observers to try to see how we can still water the tension down, when gladly I called Gen. Buhari that we are going to see him, he told me that Mr. President had called him at about 5:15pm and congratulated him and conceded defeat. He continued: In the history of Nigeria, I think this is the first time a contestant has called his rival to congratulate him and through this point, President Jonathan maintained a point that his ambition is not worth the blood of any Nigerian. He has proved that he is a man of his word because during our interaction on this peace committee he has always maintained that he is going to accept the result of the elections whichever way it is done. And he has proved this (Atojoko, 2015; Punch, 2015; [Ayomide; 2015](#)).

Another impact of the peace accord of 14 January 2015 was seen in the wave of peace accords in several states across the country in the following weeks. It is instructive that the states were not isolated from the hate campaigns that were prevalent between the two major power political parties and candidates at the national level. In-fact, it was even more intense and violent at the states level. Given the fact that most of the foot soldiers of the political parties are based at the various states and coupled with the internal dynamics within the states which are often different from the national, it became imperative that for the accord at the centre to fully succeed there was the need for the states to be carried along. Therefore, in the spirit of promoting peaceful election and without the involvement of the peacemakers at Abuja, the bandwagon of the peace accord caught most of the states of the federation. In this regard, several states including Lagos, Ogun, Imo, Rivers, Akwa Ibom, Oyo, Niger signed Peace Accords to promote peace and avert violence before, during and after election in their respective states. For instance, in Lagos, peace accord was signed in February 2015 between Mr. Jimi Agbaje of the PDP and Mr. Akinwunmi Ambode of APC at the Lagos official residence of the US Consular General, Jeffery Hawkins (Alabi, 2015). The signing of the agreement was also witnessed by the Resident INEC officer, religious and security leaders, stakeholders in the state as well as the U.S. Ambassador to Nigeria, James F. Entwistle. Similarly, peace accord in other states were largely initiated and witnessed by the INEC officials, head of security apparatus and religious and eminent personalities with the candidates pledging to promote and support peace and restrain their supporters in the election cycle.

These pledges by the gubernatorial candidates in the states could be described as playing critical role to some extent in reducing tensions and the rate of violence in the election cycle, especially in the election-day and post-election periods. Although the typical Nigerian politicians are noted for doing everything possible to 'win' elections, the attention, both the media and civil society placed on the states especially the most violence prone and strategic such as Lagos, Rivers, Akwa Ibom, Kaduna, Kano played significant role in dousing the tension and violence in most of the states except Rivers, Akwa Ibom and few others. In addition to the foregoing, was the historic congratulation

messages issued by the losers of the elections to the winners in most of the states which could be traced to the peace accords they signed. In Nigerian politics, it is rare for losers in an election to concede defeat and congratulate the winners. The 2015 gubernatorial elections were unusual as most of the states witnessed candidates conceding defeats to their opponents which helped to avert violence, hence, promote peace. For instance, in Adamawa, Nuhu Ribadu, the PDP candidate in the gubernatorial election issued a message congratulating his APC opponent, Senator Jibrilla Bindow, who won the election. Also, in Lagos, Jimi Agbaje of the PDP also congratulated the winner, Mr. Akinwunmi Ambode of the APC. Similar scenarios played out in Kaduna, Niger, Katsina, Sokoto, Nasarawa, Benue, and many other states.

CONCLUSION

The prediction before the election painted a scenario of doom that warranted the peace accord. The elections through the sacrifice and commitments of NPC, stakeholders, and the candidates were largely peaceful. The indicators of the Early Warning of several governmental and Non-governmental Organizations (NGOs) both national and international showed there will be threat and actual violence in the election cycle that could affect the unity of the country, coupled with the menace posed by the Boko Haram insurgency group in the north eastern part of the country. The CLEEN Foundation forecast for the 2015 general election revealed that over 15 Nigerian states were prone to electoral violence. Similarly, the International Crisis Group observed that “the country is heading toward a very volatile and vicious electoral contest” (www.nigeriaelection.org). Coupled with the report, the history of election in the country which have been characterized by violence and loss of lives and properties made violence in the 2015 election almost inevitable. In addition, the tone of the electioneering campaign did not help matters as it was drifting towards violence following the use of hate messages.

The signing of the Abuja Peace Accord created a window of opportunity for transforming and deescalating the threat and actual violence. Although there were deficits in the accord because of lack of sanction on erring parties, coupled with the fact that INEC, judicial and security chiefs were not parties to the agreement, the accord still helped to a very large extent in making the parties to the accord comply with the laid down rules (Iredia, 2015). The monitoring of the implementation of the terms of the accord by the NPC through its shuttle diplomacy with the major candidates, General Muhammadu of APC and President Jonathan of PDP no doubt brought some measures of sanity thereby promoting peace in the election cycle.

The relative peaceful conduct and outcome of the elections that culminated in the losers congratulating the winners which are historic in the country's political space is one of the major fruits of the accord. The conceding of defeat and congratulating of winners by losers in election in Nigeria prior to 2015, is unusual and could be said to be largely novel. It is instructive to say that most of the election in the country since 1960 were largely marred by malpractices. But the lack of sportsmanship among the Nigerian political class is well noted by scholars and observers. Therefore, the burden that the accord placed on the political parties and candidates because of the national and international backing

that the accord received, coupled with the relative credibility, free and fairness of the election despite some hitches and pockets of violence in few states could be one of the key grounds for the wave of conceding of defeat by the candidates. This new politic in the country was led by President Goodluck Jonathan who conceded while the result of Bornu state were still being expected. This step by President Jonathan helped to reduce palpable fear and tension that was raging in the country hence, promoting peace in the post-election cycle. Given the conflict transformation efforts of President Jonathan, the mirror effects manifested in several states of the federation which witnessed defeated candidates conceding to the winners that further promoted peace in parts of the country.

In a nutshell apart from the violence in few states including Rivers and Akwa Ibom, there was no organised or intense violence in the election cycle in the country. The election was relatively credible, free, fair and peaceful. The violent transformative role of the peace accord though under reported cannot be overemphasized. The accord made political parties, their supporters and candidates to be conscious of the consequences of engaging in violent behaviour. Therefore, the peace accord played a critical and important role in ensuring and promoting peace in the 2015 election cycle in the country through obtaining the commitment of the major candidates.

SUGGESTIONS

There is no doubt that the peace accord played a huge role in promoting peace and averting violence in the Nigerian 2015 general election. This was manifested in the sportsmanship attitude of President Jonathan who lost the presidential election and most of the gubernatorial candidates who also lost and conceded defeat. This has drawn national and international commendations on the actors and stakeholders that made it possible.

Despite these global commendations and the huge role the accord played in transforming violence in the election cycle there are obvious deficits in the peace accord. The paper thus suggests that the scope and efficiency of the accord should be broadened. In this regard, INEC, security heads especially the IGP, media representatives and judicial head should not be made observers in the accord, rather these officials should be made signatories in the accord. These stakeholders are very crucial in the conduct of free, fair and credible election and promotion of peace in electoral contest. Thus making them signatories in the accord would also place a burden and commitment on them to perform their duties judiciously and impartially in the interest of the country. For instance, the media are channels for preventing the spread of hate messages while the behaviour of INEC officials and the security operatives could determine the outcome of the election process and the consequences.

Added to the above, is the application of sanctions on erring parties. The non-application of sanctions on erring parties in the peace accord made it to be largely a moral issue. In other words, adherence to the accord is at the discretion and commitment of the signatories based on moral ground. The paper thus argues that sanctions based on level of infringement on the terms of the accord should be meted out to violators. At the time, the paper also suggested that the

NPC should be made a permanent institution in the electoral process with legal backing and further charged with the responsibilities of determining violators and recommending sanctions.

REFERENCES

- Agbaje, A. and Adejumobi, S. 'Do Votes Count? Travails of Electoral Politics in Nigeria', *Africa Development*, XXXI(3), 2006, 25-44.
- Alabi Babajide. Political Peace: Lagos, America and Treaty. In: *Vanguard* (Lagos) 22 February 2015
- Albert Isaac Olawale,. "Analysing Electoral Violence in Nigeria", in Linus N. Asiegbu (ed.), *Critical Issues in the 2011 General Elections in Nigeria*, Abuja: Institute for Security Studies, 2011, Pp. 38-62.
- Albert Isaac Olawale, *Explaining Post-Election Violence in Contemporary Africa*, Codesria, Dakar, 2012, Pp. 1-5.
- Alli Yusuf and Akowe Tony Jonathan, Buhari, others sign violence-free accord. In: *The Nation* (Lagos) 15 January, 2015
- Anifowose, R. *Violence and Politics in Nigeria: The Tiv and The Yoruba Experience*. New York: Nok Publishers, 1982
- Ayomide Akinshilo, Peace Accord Praise Jonathan for Conceding Defeat. In: *The Punch* (Lagos) 31 March, 2015
- Ben Ezeamalu US Government Lauds Jonathan, Buhari for respecting Abuja Peace Accord In: *Premium Times*, 2 April, 2015.
- Brosche', Johan; Hanne Fjelde and Kristine Ho'glund 2020 Electoral violence and the legacy of Authoritarian rule in Kenya and Zambia. *Journal of Peace Research* 57(1): 111–125.
- Champion Newspaper, Abuja Peace Accord: Matters arising. In: *Champion* (Lagos) 19 March, 2015
- Christensen, Maya M and Mats Utas 2008 Mercenaries of Democracy: The 'Politricks' of Remobilized Combatants in the 2007 General Election. *African Affairs* 107(429):5151–5539.
- Daxecker, Ursula; Jessica Di Salvatore and Andrea Ruggeri 2019 Fraud is what people make of it: Election Fraud, Perceived Fraud, and Protest in Nigeria. *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 63(9): 2098–2127
- Diamond, L.. *Class, Ethnicity and Democracy in Nigeria: The Failure of the First Republic*. Syracuse: Syracuse University Press, 1988.
- Dudley, B. *An Introduction to Nigerian Government and Politics*. London: Macmillan, 1982.

- Emmanuel Aziken, Presidential Polls: The Untold Story of the Peacemakers. In Vanguard (Lagos) 9 April, 2015.
- Ezea Samson, Elections 2015: The worth of Peace Accord in: The Guardian (Lagos) 27 March, 2015
- Femi Ogunshola, The Abuja Peace Accord: Matter arising, in: PM News(Lagos) 16 March, 2015
- Gbenro Olajuyigbe, Electoral Violence in Nigeria: A Protected Model, ActionAid Nigeria, 2010.
- <http://www.gsdr.org/go/conflict/chapter-3-preventing-and-managing-violent-conflict/ending-violent-conflict-peace-agreements-and-conflict-transformation>
- Human Right Watch, Nigeria's 2003 Elections: The Unacknowledged Violence, June, 2004.
- Huntington Samuel P. The Third Wave: Democratization in The Late Twentieth Century. Oklahoma : University of Oklahoma Press, 1991.
- Ibeanu, O., 'Conceptualising the Specificities of Direct Capture in the 2007 Elections', in J.Ibrahim and O. Ibeanu (eds.), Direct Capture: The 2007 Nigerian Elections and Subversion of Popular Sovereignty. Lagos: Centre for Democracy and Development, 2009.
- Ibeanu, O., Breaking the Promethean Chain: Protecting Popular Electoral Mandates in the South- East Zone of Nigeria. Onitsha: Nigerian Political Science Association (South- East) Chapter, 2007.
- International Relations and Security, Network Reports Mediation and Facilitation in Peace Processes retrieved at www.isn.etnz.cn on 10 June 2015.
- Inter-Parliamentary Union Universal Declaration on Democracy, Lodo: IPU, 1997.
- Jide Ojo, Can Abuja Peace Accord guarantee non-violent elections? In: ThePunch (Lagos) 12 January, 2015.
- Joseph, R.A, Democracy And Prebendal Politics in Nigeria: The Rise and Fall of The Second Republic. Ibadan: Spectrum Books, 1987.
- Ladan, M T, Causes of electoral violence in Nigeria in M. T Ladan and Aisha Kiru (Eds.) Election Violence in Nigeria. Lagos: AFSTRAG Nigeria, 2006.
- Lijphart A, Patterns of Democracy: Government Forms and Performance in Thirty Six Countries. Yale: Yale University Press, 1999.
- Nita yawanarajah and Julian Quellet, The Beyond Intractability Project, The Conflict Information Consortium, Colorado:University of Colorado, 2003.
- Ikime O., Groundwork of Nigerian History, Ibadan: Heinemann, 1980.
- Ochoche, S. A., 'Electoral Violence and National Security in Nigeria' in Nigeria' Africa Peace

- Review, Journal of the Centre for Peace and Conflict Resolution 1(1) April, 1997.
- Ojo, E. O., Elections: Exploration of Theoretical Postulations. Journal of African Elections
Special Issue: Nigeria's 2007 General Elections Volume 6, Number 2: October, 2007.
- Onwudiwe Ebere, and Bernard-Dart C., Breaking the Circle of Electoral Violence in Nigeria,
Special Report 263 (United State of Peace Institute, March), 2010, Pp. 1-3.
- Osaghae, E. E, Crippled Giant: Nigeria Since Independence. Ibadan: John Archers, 2002.
- Richard L. Sklar and C.S. Whitaker, "The Federal Republic of Nigeria", in Gwendolen M. Carter (ed.), National Unity and Regionalism in Eight African States, Ithaca: Cornell University, 1966, Pp. 7- 137.
- Salif Atojoko victory in Defeat, In: Tell (Lagos) 6 April, 2015, P.28.
- Sanam Naraghi Anderlini, Peace Negotiations and Agreements: International Alert.
- The Punch, Gen. Buhari receives a call from President Jonathan Congratulating him on
His Victory. In: The Punch (Lagos) 1 April, 2015.
- Tonnie Iredia, Can Abuja Peace Accord stops political violence? In: Vanguard (Lagos)
1 February, 2015.
- Ugbudian L.I., Occupy Nigeria: Paradigm Shift in Mass Resistance. Frankfurt: Peter
Lang, 2015.
- Ugbudian L.I Electoral Violence in 2012 Governorship Election in Edo State Nigeria A
Paper Presented at the Department of History and International Studies Seminar, University of Uyo, Nigeria on 13 June, 2015.
- www.nigeriaelection.org